arXiv:1702.06037v1 [math.NT] 20 Feb 2017

NONARCHIMEDEAN DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND FORMAL GROUPS

by

Laurent Berger

Abstract. — We confirm an observation of Lubin concerning families of p-adic power series that commute under composition. We prove that under certain conditions, there is a formal group such that the power series in the family are either endomorphisms of this group, or at least semi-conjugate to endomorphisms of this group.

Introduction

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers and $\mathfrak{m}_K$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. In [**Lub94**], Lubin studied *nonarchimedean dynamical systems*, namely families of elements of $X\cdot\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ that commute under composition, and remarked that "experimental evidence seems to suggest that for an invertible series to commute with a noninvertible series, there must be a formal group somehow in the background". Various results in that direction have been obtained (by Hsia, Laubie, Li, Movaheddi, Salinier, Sarkis, Specter, ...), using either p-adic analysis, the theory of the field of norms or, more recently, p-adic Hodge theory. The purpose of this article is to confirm the above observation in many new cases, using only p-adic analysis.

If $g(X)\in X\cdot\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$, we say that g is *invertible* if $g'(0)\in\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and *noninvertible* if $g'(0)\in\mathfrak{m}_K$. We say that g is *stable* if $g'(0)$ is neither 0 nor a root of unity. For example, if S is a formal group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and if $c\in\mathbf{Z}$ with $p\nmid c$ and $c\neq\pm1$, then $f(X)=[p](X)$ and $u(X)=[c](X)$ are two stable power series, with f noninvertible and u invertible, having the following properties: the roots of f and all of its iterates are simple, $f\not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$ and $f\circ u=u\circ f$. Our first result is a kind of converse of this. If $f(X)\in X\cdot\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$, let U_f denote the set of invertible power series $u(X)\in X\cdot\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ such that $f\circ u=u\circ f$, and let $\mathrm{U}'_f(0)=\{u'(0),\ u\in\mathrm{U}_f\}$. This is a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$.

2010 ***Mathematics Subject Classification.*** — 11S82 (11S31; 32P05).
Key words and phrases. — Nonarchimedean dynamical system; formal group; p-adic analysis.

Theorem A. — *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ such that $e(K/\mathbf{Q}_p) \leqslant p-1$, and let $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ be a noninvertible stable series. Suppose that*

1. *the roots of f and of all of its iterates are simple and $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$;*
2. *there is a subfield F of K such that $f'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ and such that $\mathrm{U}'_f(0) \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$.*

Then there is a formal group S over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $f \in \mathrm{End}(S)$ and $\mathrm{U}_f \subset \mathrm{End}(S)$.

Condition (2) implies that there exists a stable invertible series that commutes with f. If $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$, the existence of such a series implies condition (2).

Corollary A. — *If $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathbf{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$ is a noninvertible stable series such that the roots of f and of all of its iterates are simple and $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, and if f commutes with a stable invertible series u, then there is a formal group S over $\mathbf{Z}_p$ such that $f \in \mathrm{End}(S)$ and $\mathrm{U}_f \subset \mathrm{End}(S)$.*

There are examples of commuting power series where f does not have simple roots, for instance $f(X) = 9X + 6X^2 + X^3$ and $u(X) = 4X + X^2$ with $K = \mathbf{Q}_3$. It seems reasonable to expect that if f and u are two stable noninvertible and invertible power series that commute, with $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$, then there exists a formal group S, two endomorphisms f_S and u_S of S, and a nonzero power series h such that $f \circ h = h \circ f_S$ and $u \circ h = h \circ u_S$. We then say that f and f_S are *semi-conjugate*, and h is an *isogeny* from f_S to f (see for instance [**Li97**]).

The simplest case where this occurs is when m is an integer $\geqslant 2$, and the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m. In this case, we have the following.

Theorem B. — *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, let $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ be a noninvertible stable series and take $m \geqslant 2$. Suppose that the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m and that $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. Let $h(X) = X^m$.*

There exists a finite unramified extension L of K and a noninvertible stable series $f_0(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_L[\![X]\!]$, such that

1. *$f \circ h = h \circ f_0$ and $f_0 \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$;*
2. *The roots of f_0 and of all of its iterates are simple;*
3. *If $u \in \mathrm{U}_f$ with $u'(0) \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$, there exists $u_0 \in \mathrm{U}_{f_0}$ such that $u \circ h = h \circ u_0$.*

If there is a subfield F of K such that $f'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ and such that $\mathrm{U}'_f(0) \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$, then $(f_0^{\circ m})'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ and $\mathrm{U}'_{f_0}(0) \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$.

Corollary B. — *If $m \geqslant 2$ and $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathbf{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$ is a noninvertible stable series such that the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m and $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, and if f commutes with a stable invertible series u, then there is an unramified extension L of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, a formal group S over $\mathcal{O}_L$ and $f_S \in \mathrm{End}(S)$ such that $f \circ X^m = X^m \circ f_S$.*

Theorem A and its corollary are proved in section §2 and theorem B and its corollary are proved in section §3. We also provide two criteria (propositions 1.5 and 3.2) that make it easy to check the conditions concerning the mulitplicity of the roots of f in theorems A and B.

1. Nonarchimedean dynamical systems

Whenever we talk about the roots of a power series, we mean its roots in the p-adic open unit disk $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$. Recall that the *Weierstrass degree* $\mathrm{wideg}(g(X))$ of a series $g(X) = \sum_{i \geqslant 1} g_i X^i \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is the smallest integer $i \leqslant +\infty$ such that $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$.

If $r < 1$, let $\mathcal{H}(r)$ denote the set of power series in $K[\![X]\!]$ that converge on the closed disk $\{z \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \text{ with } |z|_p \leqslant r\}$. If $h \in \mathcal{H}(r)$, let $\|h\|_r = \sup_{|z|_p \leqslant r} |h(z)|_p$. The space $\mathcal{H}(r)$ is complete for the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{proj\,lim}_{r<1} \mathcal{H}(r)$ be the ring of holomorphic functions on the open unit disk.

Throughout this article, $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is a stable noninvertible series such that $\mathrm{wideg}(f) < +\infty$, and U_f denotes the set of invertible power series $u(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ such that $f \circ u = u \circ f$.

Lemma 1.1. — *A series $g(X) \in X \cdot K[\![X]\!]$ that commutes with f is determined by $g'(0)$.*

Proof. — This is proposition 1.1 of [**Lub94**]. □

Proposition 1.2. — *If U_f contains a stable invertible series, then there exists a power series $g(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ and an integer $d \geqslant 1$ such that $f(X) \equiv g(X^{p^d}) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$.*

In particular, $\mathrm{wideg}(f) = p^d$ *for some $d \geqslant 1$.*

Proof. — See (the proof of) theorem 6.3 and corollary 6.2.1 of [**Lub94**]. □

Proposition 1.3. — *There is a (unique) power series $\mathrm{L}(X) \in X + X^2 \cdot K[\![X]\!]$ such that $\mathrm{L} \circ f = f'(0) \cdot \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{L} \circ u = u'(0) \cdot \mathrm{L}$ if $u \in \mathrm{U}_f$. The series $\mathrm{L}(X)$ converges on the open unit disk, and $\mathrm{L}(X) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f^{\circ n}(X)/f'(0)^n$ in the ring $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. — See propositions 1.2, 1.3 and 2.2 of [**Lub94**]. □

Lemma 1.4. — *If $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is a noninvertible stable series and if f commutes with a stable invertible series u, then every root of f' is a root of some iterate of f.*

Proof. — This is corollary 3.2.1 of [**Lub94**]. □

Proposition 1.5. — *If $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is a noninvertible stable series and $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, and if f commutes with a stable invertible series u, then the roots of f and of all of its iterates are simple if and only if $f'(X)/f'(0) \in 1 + X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$.*

Proof. — We have $(f^{\circ n})'(X) = f'(f^{\circ n-1}(X)) \cdots f'(f(X)) \cdot f'(X)$. If $f'(X)/f'(0) \in 1 + X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$, then the derivative of $f^{\circ n}(X)$ belongs to $f'(0)^n \cdot (1 + X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!])$ and hence has no roots.

By lemma 1.4, any root of $f'(X)$ is also a root of some iterate of $f(X)$. If the roots of $f^{\circ n}(X)$ are simple for all $n \geqslant 1$, then $f'(X)$ cannot have any root, and hence $f'(X)/f'(0) \in 1 + X\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. □

2. Formal groups

Let $S(X,Y) = \mathrm{L}^{\circ -1}(\mathrm{L}(X) + \mathrm{L}(Y)) \in K[\![X,Y]\!]$. By proposition 1.3, S is a formal group law over K such that f and all $u \in \mathrm{U}_f$ are endomorphisms of S. In order to prove our main result, we show that $S(X,Y) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X,Y]\!]$. Write $S(X,Y) = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} s_j(X) Y^j$.

Lemma 2.1. — *If $\mathrm{L}'(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$, then $s_j(X) \in j!^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ for all $j \geqslant 0$.*

Proof. — This is lemma 3.2 of [**Li96**]. □

Lemma 2.2. — *If the roots of $f^{\circ n}(X)$ are simple for all $n \geqslant 1$, then $\mathrm{L}'(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$.*

Proof. — This is sketched in the proof of theorem 3.6 of [**Li96**]. We give a complete argument for the convenience of the reader.

We have $(f^{\circ n})'(X) = f'(f^{\circ n-1}(X)) \cdots f'(f(X)) \cdot f'(X)$, and by proposition 1.5, $f'(X)/f'(0) \in 1 + X\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. By proposition 1.3, we have $\mathrm{L}(X) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f^{\circ n}(X)/f'(0)^n$, so that

$$\mathrm{L}'(X) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{(f^{\circ n})'(X)}{f'(0)^n} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{f'(f^{\circ n-1}(X))}{f'(0)} \cdots \frac{f'(f(X))}{f'(0)} \cdot \frac{f'(X)}{f'(0)},$$

and hence $\mathrm{L}'(X) \in 1 + X\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. □

Theorem 2.3. — *We have $s_j(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ for all $j \geqslant 0$.*

Proof. — The power series $u_n(X) = S(X, f^{\circ n}(X))$ belongs to $X \cdot K[\![X]\!]$ and satisfies $u_n \circ f = f \circ u_n$. Since $\mathrm{U}'_f(0) \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$, there exists n_0 such that if $n \geqslant n_0$, then $u'_n(0) = 1 + f'(0)^n \in \mathrm{U}'_f(0)$. We then have $u_n \in \mathrm{U}_f$ by lemma 1.1.

In order to prove the theorem, we therefore prove that if $S(X, f^{\circ n}(X)) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ for all $n \geqslant n_0$, then $s_i(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ for all $i \geqslant 0$. If $j \geqslant 1$, let

$$a_j(X) = f^{\circ n}(X) \sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_{j+i}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^i = s_j(X) f^{\circ n}(X) + s_{j+1}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^2 + \cdots .$$

We prove by induction on j that $s_0(X), \ldots, s_{j-1}(X)$ as well as $a_j(X)$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. This holds for $j = 1$; suppose that it holds for j.

We claim that if $h \in \mathcal{H}(r)$ and $\|h\|_r < p^{-1/(p-1)}$, then the series $\sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_{j+i}(X) h(X)^i$ converges in $\mathcal{H}(r)$. Indeed, if $s_p(j+i)$ denotes the sum of the digits of $j+i$ in base p, then

$$\mathrm{val}_p((j+i)!) = \frac{j + i - s_p(j+i)}{p-1} \leqslant \frac{i}{p-1} + \frac{j}{p-1}.$$

Let π be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and let $e = e(K/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ so that $|\pi|_p = p^{-1/e}$. By proposition 1.2, we have

$$f^{\circ n}(X) \in \pi X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!] + X^{q^n} \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X^{q^n}]\!],$$

where $q = p^d = \mathrm{wideg}(f)$, so that $\|f^{\circ n}(X)\|_r \leqslant \max(r p^{-1/e}, r^{q^n})$. If $\rho_n = p^{-1/e(q^n-1)}$, then

$$\|f^{\circ n}(X)\|_{\rho_n} \leqslant p^{-q^n/e(q^n-1)} < p^{-1/e} \leqslant p^{-1/(p-1)}$$

and the series $\sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_{j+i}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^i$ therefore converges in $\mathcal{H}(\rho_n)$.

We use once more the fact that $f^{\circ n}(X) \in \pi X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!] + X^{q^n} \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X^{q^n}]\!]$. By the theory of Newton polygons, all the zeroes z of $f^{\circ n}(X)$ satisfy $\mathrm{val}_p(z) \geqslant 1/e(q^n - 1)$, and hence $|z|_p \leqslant \rho_n$. The equation $a_j(X) = f^{\circ n}(X) \sum_{i \geqslant 0} s_{j+i}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^i$ holds in $\mathcal{H}(\rho_n)$, and this implies that $a_j(z) = 0$ for all z such that $f^{\circ n}(z) = 0$. Since all the zeroes of $f^{\circ n}(X)$ are simple and $f^{\circ n}(X) \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, the Weierstrass preparation theorem implies that $f^{\circ n}(X)$ divides $a_j(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$, and hence that

$$s_j(X) + s_{j+1}(X) f^{\circ n}(X) + s_{j+2}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!].$$

Choose some $0 < \rho < 1$ and take $n \geqslant n_0$ such that $\rho_n \geqslant \rho$. We have

$$f^{\circ n}(X) = f(f^{\circ n-1}(X)) \in \pi f^{\circ n-1}(X) \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!] + f^{\circ n-1}(X)^q \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!],$$

so that $\|f^{\circ n}(X)\|_\rho \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, and $\|s_{j+1}(X) f^{\circ n}(X) + s_{j+2}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^2 + \cdots\|_\rho \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. The series $s_j(X)$ is therefore in the closure of $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ inside $\mathcal{H}(\rho)$ for $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, which is $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$.

This proves that $s_j(X)$ as well as $s_{j+1}(X) f^{\circ n}(X) + s_{j+2}(X) f^{\circ n}(X)^2 + \cdots$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. This finishes the induction and hence the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem A now follows: S is a formal group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $f \in \mathrm{End}(S)$. Any power series $u(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ that commutes with f also belongs to $\mathrm{End}(S)$, since $u(X) = [u'(0)](X)$ by lemma 1.1. In particular, $\mathrm{U}_f \subset \mathrm{End}(S)$.

To prove corollary A, note that we can replace u by $u^{\circ p-1}$ and therefore assume that $u'(0) \in 1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$. In this case, $u^{\circ m}$ is defined for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ by proposition 4.1 of [**Lub94**] and $\mathrm{U}'_f(0)$ is therefore an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$.

Remark 2.4. — Our main theorem implies conjecture 5.3 of [**HL16**] for those K such that $e(K/\mathbf{Q}_p) \leqslant p-1$.

3. Semi-conjugation

We now prove theorem B. Suppose therefore that the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m.

Since $q = \mathrm{wideg}(f)$ is finite, we can write $f(X) = X \cdot g(X) \cdot v(X)$ where $g(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ is a distinguished polynomial and $v(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is a unit. If the roots of $g(X)$ are of multiplicity m, then $g(X) = g_0(X)^m$ for some $g_0(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$. Write $v(X) = [c]\cdot(1+w(X))$ where $c \in k_K$ (and $[c]$ is its Teichmüller lift) and $w(X) \in (\mathfrak{m}_K, X)$. Since $m \cdot \deg(g) = q-1$, m is prime to p and there exists a unique $w_0(X) \in (\mathfrak{m}_K, X)$ such that $1 + w(X) = (1 + w_0(X))^m$. If $f_0(X) = [c^{1/m}] \cdot X \cdot g_0(X^m) \cdot (1 + w_0(X^m))$, then

$$f \circ h(X) = f(X^m) = [c] \cdot X^m \cdot g_0(X^m)^m \cdot (1 + w_0(X^m))^m = f_0(X)^m = h \circ f_0(X).$$

Finally, it is clear that $f_0 \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_K$. This proves (1) with $L = K([c^{1/m}])$.

If we write $f^{\circ n}(X) = X \cdot \prod_\alpha (X-\alpha)^m \cdot v_n(X)$ with v_n a unit, then $f^{\circ n}(X^m) = X^m \cdot \prod_\alpha (X^m - \alpha)^m \cdot v_n(X^m)$ so that all the roots of $f^{\circ n}(X^m)$ have multiplicity m. Since $f^{\circ n}(X^m) = f_0^{\circ n}(X)^m$, this proves (2).

If $u \in \mathrm{U}_f$ and $u'(0) \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K$, then there is a unique $u_0(X) \in 1 + (\mathfrak{m}_K, X)$ such that $u_0(X)^m = u(X^m)$. We have $u_0'(0) = u'(0)^{1/m}$ and $(f_0 \circ u_0)^m = (u_0 \circ f_0)^m$ as well as $(f_0 \circ u_0)'(0) = (u_0 \circ f_0)'(0)$, so that $u_0 \in \mathrm{U}_{f_0}$. This proves (3).

Since $f(X^m) = f_0(X)^m$, we have $f'(0) = f_0'(0)^m$. We then have $(f_0^{\circ m})'(0) = f_0'(0)^m = f'(0) \in \mathfrak{m}_F$. This and (3) imply the last claim of theorem B.

Corollary B follows from theorem B in the same way that corollary A followed from theorem A.

Example 3.1. — If $p = 3$ and $f(X) = 9X + 6X^2 + X^3$ and $u(X) = 4X + X^2$, so that $f \circ u = u \circ f$, then $f(X) = X(X+3)^2$ and $f'(X) = 3(X+3)(X+1)$. The nonzero roots of

f and of all of its iterates are therefore of multiplicity 2. We have $f(X^2) = (X(X^2+3))^2$ so that $f_0(X) = 3X + X^3$, and the corresponding formal group is $\mathbf{G}_m$.

Proposition 3.2. — *If $f(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ is a noninvertible stable series and $f \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, and if f commutes with a stable invertible series u, then the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m if and only if the nonzero roots of f are of multiplicity m and the set of roots of f' is included in the set of roots of f.*

Proof. — If the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m, then the nonzero roots of f are of multiplicity m. In addition, if α is a nonzero root of $f^{\circ n}$ for some $n \geqslant 1$, then the equation $f(X) = \alpha$ has simple roots, so that $f'(\beta) \neq 0$ if β is one of these roots. By lemma 1.4, any root of $f'(X)$ is also a root of some iterate of $f(X)$. This implies that the set of roots of f' is included in the set of roots of f.

Conversely, suppose that the nonzero roots of f are of multiplicity m, and that $f'(\beta) \neq 0$ for any β that is not a root of f. If α is a nonzero root of f, then the equation $f(X) = \alpha$ has simple roots, so that the nonzero roots of f and of all of its iterates are of multiplicity m. □

Remark 3.3. — If $p = 2$ and $f(X) = 4X + X^2$ and $u(X) = 9X + 6X^2 + X^3$, then $f \circ u = u \circ f$. The roots 0 and -4 of f are simple, but $f^{\circ 2}(X) = X(X+4)(X+2)^2$ has a double root. In this case, f is still semi-conjugate to an endomorphism of $\mathbf{G}_m$, but via the more complicated map $h(X) = X^2/(1+X)$ (see example 2 of [**Li96**]).

References

[HL16] L.-C. Hsia & H.-C. Li – "Ramification filtrations of certain abelian Lie extensions of local fields", *J. Number Theory* **168** (2016), p. 135–153.

[Li96] H.-C. Li – "When is a p-adic power series an endomorphism of a formal group?", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), no. 8, p. 2325–2329.

[Li97] ———, "Isogenies between dynamics of formal groups", *J. Number Theory* **62** (1997), no. 2, p. 284–297.

[Lub94] J. Lubin – "Nonarchimedean dynamical systems", *Compositio Math.* **94** (1994), no. 3, p. 321–346.

February 21, 2017

Laurent Berger, UMPA de l'ENS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS, IUF
E-mail : laurent.berger@ens-lyon.fr • *Url :* perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/